#### **EXHIBIT 1**

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
STREET ADDRESS: 325 S. Meirose
MAILING ADDRESS: 325 S. Meirose
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Vista, CA 92081
BRANCH NAME: North County
TELEPHONE NUMBER: (760) 201-8030

PLAINTIFF(S) / PETITIONER(S): Jack Hayek

DEFENDANT(S) / RESPONDENT(S): HCAL LLC et.al.

HAYEK VS. HCAL LLC

NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT

CASE NUMBER:
37-2011-00051081-CU-WT-NC

Judge: Thomas P. Nugent

Department: N-30

COMPLAINT/PETITION FILED: 02/03/2011

#### CASES ASSIGNED TO THE PROBATE DIVISION ARE NOT REQUIRED TO COMPLY WITH THE CIVIL REQUIREMENTS LISTED BELOW

IT IS THE DUTY OF EACH PLAINTIFF (AND CROSS-COMPLAINANT) TO SERVE A COPY OF THIS NOTICE WITH THE COMPLAINT (AND CROSS-COMPLAINT), THE ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) INFORMATION FORM (SDSC FORM #CIV-730), A STIPULATION TO USE ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) (SDSC FORM #CIV-359), AND OTHER DOCUMENTS AS SET OUT IN SDSC LOCAL RULE 2.1.5.

ALL COUNSEL WILL BE EXPECTED TO BE FAMILIAR WITH SUPERIOR COURT RULES WHICH HAVE BEEN PUBLISHED AS DIVISION II, AND WILL BE STRICTLY ENFORCED.

- TIME STANDARDS: The following timeframes apply to general civil cases and must be adhered to unless you have requested and been granted an extension of time. General civil cases consist of all civil cases except: small claims proceedings, civil petitions, unlawful detainer proceedings, probate, guardianship, conservatorship, juvenile, and family law proceedings.
- COMPLAINTS: Complaints and all other documents listed in SDSC Local Rule 2.1.5 must be served on all named defendants, and a Certificate of Service (SDSC form #CIV-345) filed within 60 days of filing.
- DEFENDANT'S APPEARANCE: Defendant must generally appear within 30 days of service of the complaint. (Plaintiff may stipulate to no more than 15 day extension which must be in writing and filed with the Court.) (SDSC Local Rule 2.1.6)
- DEFAULT: If the defendant has not generally appeared and no extension has been granted, the plaintiff must request default within 45 days of the filing of the Certificate of Service. (SDSC Local Rule 2.1.7)
- CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE: A Case Management Conference will be set within 150 days of filing the complaint.

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR): THE COURT ENCOURAGES YOU TO CONSIDER UTILIZING VARIOUS ALTERNATIVES TO TRIAL, INCLUDING MEDIATION AND ARBITRATION, PRIOR TO THE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE. PARTIES MAY FILE THE ATTACHED STIPULATION TO USE ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (SDSC FORM #CIV-359).

YOU MAY ALSO BE ORDERED TO PARTICIPATE IN ARBITRATION. IF THE CASE IS ORDERED TO ARBITRATION PURSUANT TO CODE CIV. PROC. 1411.11, THE COSTS OF ARBITRATION WILL BE PAID BY THE COURT PURSUANT TO CODE CIV. PROC. 1141.28.

FOR MORE INFORMATION, SEE THE ATTACHED ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) INFORMATION FORM (SDSC FORM #CIV-730)

SDSC CIV-721 (Rev. 11-06)

#### SUCLAMUS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: HCAL, LLC, HARRAH'S OPERATING (AVISO AL DEMANDADO): COMPANY, INC., RINCON BAND OF LUISENO INDIANS, and DOES 1 through 20, Inclusive

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: JACK HAYEK (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

SUM-100

Clerk of the Superior Cour?

FEB -3 2011

THE T MENTER

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days, Read the information

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le

podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia. Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: CASE NUMBER: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): SAN DIEGO SUPERIOR COURT 37-2011-00051081-CU-WT-NC 325 S. Melrose 325 S. Melrose Vista, CA 92081 The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is:

Clerk, by

(Secretario)

(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): (951)1296-2299 (951) 296-2297

David P. Mayberry, Esq. Law Offices of David P. Mayberry

41877 Enterprise Circle North, Suite 130 Temecula, CA 92590

DATE:

(Fecha)

3 2011 (For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).)

(Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)).

Court of S	

NO	TICE	TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served	
1. [		as an individual defendant.	
2.		as the person sued under the fictitious name of	f (specify):

3. on behalf of (specify):

CCP 416.10 (corporation) under: CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation)

CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) other (specify):

by personal delivery on (date):

Page 1 of 1 Code of Civil Procedure §§ 412.20, 465

CCP 416.60 (minor)

CCP 416.70 (conservatee)

CCP 416.90 (authorized person)

Deputy

(Adjunto)

		CM-010
The state of the s	umber, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Law Offices of David P. Mayk	erry	A STATE OF THE STA
41877 Enterprise Circle Nort		
Temecula, CA 92590	ig La proprieta	
/051) 206 2020	MORE AND	
TELEPHONE NO.: (951) 296-2299 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff, JACK	FAX NO.: (951) 296-2297	超-2 71 4 02
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN		
STREET ADDRESS: 325 S. Melrose	. 51500	K-SUPERIOR COURT DIEGO COUNTY DA
MAILING ADDRESS: 325 S. Melrose	et F	K-SUPERIOR AND THE
city and zip code: Vista, CA 92081	Sk	LPEG BEALLE SO.
CASE NAME: Hayek v. HCAL, et		-{
OAGE VANGE. HOYER V. HOAD, EC	G.T.	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:
X Unlimited Limited	Counter Joinder	37-2011-00051081-CU-WT-NC
(Amount (Amount demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defendant	100GE:
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT:
	ow must be completed (see instructions on	page 2).
1. Check one box below for the case type that		
Auto Tort		rovisionally Complex Civil Litigation (al. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)
Auto (22) Uninsured motorist (46)		<del></del> 3
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Asbestos (04)	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40) Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24)	Other contract (37)	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	above listed provisionally complex case
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
Business tort/unfair business practice (07)	Other real property (26)	nforcement of Judgment
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Defamation (13)		scellaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Professional negligence (25)		iscellaneous Civil Petition
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	<del></del>
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Partnership and corporate governance (21) Other petition (not specified above) (43)
X Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
		f Court If the coas is separately moved the
<ol> <li>This case  is  is  is not complete factors requiring exceptional judicial manage</li> </ol>	ex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of	or Court. If the case is complex, mark the
a. Large number of separately repres	ented parties d. Large number of v	vitnesses
b. Extensive motion practice raising d		elated actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consuming		states, or countries, or in a federal court
c. Substantial amount of documentary	evidence f. Substantial postju	dgment judicial supervision
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.	X monetary b. nonmonetary; decla	aratory or injunctive relief c punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify): 5	•	
	s action suit.	
6. If there are any known related cases, file and	_	use form CM-Out 50
Date: January 25, 2011	a serve a notice of related casalif rapy any	
David P. Mayberry, Esq.		The I wall
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	SIGNATU	JRE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY
B1. (77 ) (7)	NOTICE	
<ul> <li>Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the fir under the Probate Code, Family Code, or We</li> </ul>	st paper tiled in the action or proceeding (e	xcept small claims cases or cases filed
in sanctions.	onare and mediculous code). (Gal. Rules of	Count, rate 3.220.) Failure to the may result
• File this cover sheet in addition to any cover	sheet required by local court rule.	
If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et se     the parties to the setting or proceedings.	eq. of the California Rules of Court, you mu	st serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
other parties to the action or proceeding.  • Unless this is a collections case under rule 3	3 740 or a complex case, this cover sheet w	rill he used for statistical nurnoses only
		Page 1 of 2
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Leg	Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3.220, 3.400-3.403, 3.740; Cal. Standards of Judicial Administration, std. 3.10
CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]	Solution Co. P.	us

David P. Mayberry, Esq. (SBN 108741) 1 LAW OFFICES OF DAVID P. MAYBERRY HORTH COMPLY DIVISION 41877 Enterprise Circle North, Suite 130 Temecula, California 92590 JI FEO -2 PH 4 02 3 (951) 296-2299 Tel: (951) 296-2297 Fax: (3) CLERK-SUPERIOR COURT SAMERIOS COUNTY OA 4 Attorney for Plaintiff, 5 Jack Hayek 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO 9 JACK HAYEK, CASE NO: 37-2011-00051081-CU-WT-NC Plaintiff, 10 COMPLAINT FOR EMPLOYMENT VS. 11 DISCRIMINATION, AGE HCAL, LLC, HARRAH'S OPERATING DISCRIMINATION, WRONGFUL 12 COMPANY, INC., RINCON BAND OF CONSTRUCTIVE DISCHARGE, LUISENO INDIANS, and DOES 1 through VIOLATION OF AMERICANS WITH 13 20, Inclusive, DISABILITY ACT; PUNITIVE DAMAGES Defendants. 14 Plaintiff alleges as follows: 15 16 17 **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS** Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that at all times material 1. 18 hereto, Defendants, HCAL, LLC, HARRAH'S OPERATING COMPANY, INC., RINCON BAND 19 OF LUISENO INDIANS, and DOES 1 through 20, Inclusive, (hereinafter referred to as 20 "Defendants") were individuals and/or California businesses, form unknown, operating in San Diego 21 County, California. Defendants were individuals and/or entities subject to suit under the FEHA 22 Government Code Section 12900, et. seq., and were the supervisors and managers of plaintiff 23 employed by the remaining Defendants. 24 Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants, HCAL, 25 LLC, HARRAH'S OPERATING COMPANY, INC., RINCON BAND OF LUISENO INDIANS, 26 and DOES 1 through 20 Inclusive, were and are individuals, California corporations or business 27 28 1

- 3. Plaintiff is an adult man in his seventies who at all times material hereto was and is a resident of the County of Riverside, State of California, and was employed within the county of San Diego by Defendants, HCAL, LLC, HARRAH'S OPERATING COMPANY, INC., RINCON BAND OF LUISENO INDIANS, and DOES 1 through 20, Inclusive, and each of them and who was discriminated against by all Defendant employers and DOES 1 through 20, Inclusive, upon the basis of his age, medical condition/disability and upon the basis of handicap.
- 4. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff entered into an oral contract for employment with Defendants to perform as a Casino Cashier for Defendants, HCAL, LLC, HARRAH'S OPERATING COMPANY, INC., RINCON BAND OF LUISENO INDIANS, and DOES 1 through 20, Inclusive, and each of them; and Plaintiff fully and satisfactorily performed all terms, conditions and obligations required of him thereunder.
- Defendants, HCAL, LLC, HARRAH'S OPERATING COMPANY, INC., RINCON BAND OF LUISENO INDIANS, are California business entities, form unknown, with offices for businesses located within the County of San Diego, State of California.
- 6. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 20, Inclusive, and therefore sues said DOE Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this complaint and allege their true names and capacities once known. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each of these fictitiously named DOE Defendants is responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged and the Plaintiffs damages as herein alleged were caused by those Defendants.
- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that at all times material hereto each of the Defendants, including all Defendants under fictitious names, whether agents

and/or employees of each of the remaining Defendants, and in doing the things herein alleged were acting within the course and scope of the agency and/or employment. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of the Defendants herein gave consent to, ratified, and authorized the acts alleged herein to each of the remaining Defendants.

- 8. Plaintiff worked for Defendants, HCAL, LLC, HARRAH'S OPERATING COMPANY, INC., RINCON BAND OF LUISENO INDIANS, and DOES 1 through 20, Inclusive as a Casino Cashier until constructively discharged in April of 2010 when he was wrongfully and constructively terminated by Defendants, HCAL, LLC, HARRAH'S OPERATING COMPANY, INC., RINCON BAND OF LUISENO INDIANS, and DOES 1 through 20, Inclusive, under the false pretext that he was not satisfactorily performing his job and was being transferred and/or promoted to another shift known as "swing shift" which included work hours throughout the evening until early morning.
- 9. Throughout Plaintiff's employment tenure with Defendants and since 2002 he received raises and was given good reviews on occasion. He was a valued employee and often received positive accolades from his superiors. Plaintiff never received a written or spoken warning with respect to any serious wrongdoing, Plaintiff performed any and all required terms and conditions of his oral contract with Defendants, yet Defendants breached this oral contract of employment by constructively terminating Plaintiff without just cause and in violation of California Public Policy and due to Plaintiff's age and medical disability incident to his affliction with diabetes mellitus. Plaintiff was forced to work 10 hour days on his feet and forced to work a labor shift in the middle of the night when a simple and reasonable accommodation could have been made by Defendants yet same was never offered to Plaintiff and Plaintiff's request for same was refused.
- 10. Previous to Plaintiff's constructive termination he was medically disabled by diabetes mellitus. As a result of this disability and the subsequent communication to Defendants; Defendants expressed disinterest in and indifference to Plaintiff's medical condition and disability and Plaintiff's request for a reasonable accommodation to a shorter work shift and daytime shift was denied and

Defendants eventually retaliated by constructively discharging Plaintiff from his employment by refusing to make reasonable accommodations despite Defendants knowledge of Plaintiff's disability, medical condition, age, and medical limitations as communicated to Defendants by reports from Plaintiff's physicians and written communications by Plaintiff to Defendants outlining his situation and requesting reasonable accommodation.

- 11. On September 27th, 2010, Plaintiff filed a complaint for discrimination, due to age, disability, medical condition, association and wrongful discharge with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing (Attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's complaint to the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing marked "Exhibits A and B""). Plaintiff received a Notice of Case Closure and a "Right to Sue Letter" from the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing on September 27th, 2010 (attached hereto incorporated herein by this reference, and marked "Exhibit C" is a true and correct copy of the "Right to Sue Letters" and "Notice of Case Closures"). Plaintiff's complaint filed with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing charged Defendants, HCAL, LLC and HARRAH'S OPERATING COMPANY, INC., with discrimination on the basis of age, medical condition and disability. Additionally, on September 27th, 2010 Plaintiff filed a Formal Request for Trial Council Action with Defendant, RINCON BAND OF LUISENO INDIANS. No action was taken thereon. Said filing is attached hereto and marked "Exhibit D".
- 12. Plaintiff has exhausted his administrative remedies available to him under the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing and under Defendant Tribe and has filed a timely claim with same thus permitting him to file the within civil complaint for relief and damages

II

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (WRONGFUL DISCHARGE) EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF PUBLIC POLICY, CALIFORNIA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1, SECTION 8, AND THE FEHA JACK HAYEK vs. HCAL, LLC, HARRAH'S OPERATING COMPANY, INC., RINCON BAND OF LUISENO INDIANS, AND DOES 1 THROUGH 20, INCLUSIVE

- 13. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 12 of the foregoing complaint as though same were fully set forth at length hereat.
- 14. During the course of Plaintiff's employment, employers and Defendants, HCAL, LLC, HARRAH'S OPERATING COMPANY, INC., RINCON BAND OF LUISENO INDIANS, by and through it's agents, servants and workers, and others, who were serving in capacity of management to Plaintiff, and other DOES 1 through 20, Inclusive, did discriminate against the Plaintiff on the basis of a condition of disability, medical condition, and age; and did further discriminate against Plaintiff because he sought reasonable accommodation from Defendants to permit him to continue working for Defendants in full capacity while accommodating the restrictions and handicap imposed upon him by his medical condition of Diabetes Mellitus.
- 15. During the course of Plaintiff's employment, and at all times material hereto, as aforesaid Defendants by and through the aforesaid agents and others, and each of them, harassed Plaintiff as aforesaid and discriminated against him on the basis of the aforementioned conditions of age, medical condition and disability which arose to the level of a defined handicap or disability as defined by the Americans with Disabilities Act and by government code section 12940 and various other Federal and California State statutes.
- 16. All of the foregoing and additional facts not set forth herein forced Plaintiff to become anxious, nervous, and depressed and resulted in Plaintiff's constructive wrongful termination. The particulars of said discrimination and harassment are set forth in "Exhibit A and

"Exhibit D" hereto. Plaintiff was also wrongfully denied the opportunity to continue the work that he valued in life and which gave him a feeling of high esteem and satisfaction.

- employers to take immediate and effective action to stop the harassment, to investigate and learn the truth, and apologize to Plaintiff and reinstate Plaintiff to his existent position of employment, violated California public policy as well as Government Code Section 12940 (h) making illegal the discrimination and harassment of an employee upon these bases, and Article 1, Section 8 of the California Constitution prohibiting discrimination in employment. Defendants' intentional wrongful and discriminatory conduct forced Plaintiff to be wrongfully and constructively discharged. Furthermore, a younger and less experienced employee was given Plaintiff's job and Defendants insisted that Plaintiff work a shift that he and his physicians repeatedly advised was too injurious to Plaintiff's health and ultimately impossible for Plaintiff to do without risking serious physical decline. Furthermore, Plaintiff repeatedly requested "reasonable accommodation" in the form of continued placement on a day shift but this request for reasonable accommodation was refused by Defendants; when Defendants could have easily accommodated Plaintiff without undue burden to Defendants' business.
- 18. Defendants conduct was malicious, oppressive, and despicable, and subjected the Plaintiff to cruel and unjust hardship in his employment; and was carried on in a willful, open and conscious disregard of the civil rights of the Plaintiff and was intended to force Plaintiff from his job. The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Defendant knew this conduct was repulsive and offensive to the Plaintiff and knew that it caused mental suffering, physical deterioration, and anxiety for the Plaintiff, knew that the Plaintiff relied on his income from the employment for livelihood, and knew that this conduct was unlawful and in violation of California public policy and that same would force Plaintiff to retire. Despite such knowledge, the Defendants by and through their agents engaged in the above described conduct for the purpose of intimidating, embarrassing, harassing, and injuring the Plaintiff and sought to make an example of Plaintiff.

Ţ

Furthermore, employers failed to take immediate and appropriate action to stop the harassment. The conduct of the Defendant warrants the imposition of punitive damages against the Defendants, and each of them, commensurate with their wealth.

- 19. As a direct and proximate result of the acts perpetrated by Defendants, and each of them, against Plaintiff, as herein alleged, Plaintiff has been harmed in that Plaintiff has suffered a loss of wages, vacation pay, overtime pay, and benefits, as well as future wages and benefits, in an amount and to an extent which has not yet been ascertained. Once such damages have been ascertained, Plaintiff will amend his complaint accordingly or according to proof at the time of trial.
- 20. As a further direct and proximate result of the acts perpetrated by Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has suffered humiliation, mental anguish, public ridicule, emotional and physical distress, in an amount and to an extent which has not yet been ascertained but will be shown according to proof at time of trial.
- As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants' discriminatory actions and other actions as aforesaid, Plaintiff is entitled to recover his attorney fees and costs pursuant to Government Code Section 12965 (b).

Ш

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

#### AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT VIOLATION

### JACK HAYEK vs. HCAL, LLC, HARRAH'S OPERATING COMPANY, INC., RINCON BAND OF LUISENO INDIANS, AND DOES 1 THROUGH 20, INCLUSIVE

- 22. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 21 of the preceding complaint as though same were fully set forth at length hereat.
- 23. Following Plaintiff's discovery of and communication to Defendants of his need for special accommodation due to the restrictions of his condition of Diabetes Mellitus, and at all times material hereto, Plaintiff suffered from this condition which was a physical disability under the Americans with Disabilities Act and California State Law which so substantially limited his level

.17

of function if not given reasonable accommodation as to affect his major life activities and did arise to the level of a disability as defined by government code section 12940. Plaintiff's revelation of his condition to Defendants was met with disinterest on the part of Defendants who subsequently refused to make simple reasonable accommodation by allowing Plaintiff to remain on the day shift as a cashier; and, in fact transferred Plaintiff to night-time swing shift and placed a younger less qualified employee into Plaintiff's position thereby discriminating against Plaintiff on the basis of his age, medical condition and disability; all in violation of the Americans With Disabilities Act, California State Law, and California Public Policy. Defendants refusal to make the requested and reasonable accommodation to Plaintiff resulted effectively in his wrongful constructive discharge as previously indicated and as defined under the Americans with Disabilities Act and the California Fair Employment and Housing Act.

- 24. The aforesaid intentional acts perpetrated by Defendant, HCAL, LLC, HARRAH'S OPERATING COMPANY, INC., RINCON BAND OF LUISENO INDIANS, and DOES 1 through 20, Inclusive, by and through their agents, did constitute a willful and concerted effort to wrongfully and constructively discharge Plaintiff because of his medical condition, medical disability, and age as aforesaid and as such constitutes an intolerable interference with Plaintiffs rights under the California Constitution, the various aforesaid referenced laws and statutes of the State of California including the Fair Employment and Housing Act and The Americans With Disabilities Act.
- 25. The aforesaid wrongful discharge from employment constituted harassment and discrimination under the fair employment and housing statutes of the State of California and The Americans With Disabilities Act and did directly and proximately cause Plaintiff to suffer economic loss, loss of health coverage benefits, loss of self esteem, detriment to his health and physical and emotional well being as well as all other damages complained of herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, JACK HAYEK, prays for judgment against the Defendants, and 1 2 each of them, as follows: 3 1. For attorney fees as provided by statute. 4 2. For punitive damages commensurate with the wealth of the Defendants. 5 3. For all loss of earnings and benefits past and future. 6 4. For general and special damages in an amount according to proof. 7 5. For costs incurred in the within litigation. 8 6. For interest on sums due. 9 7. For such other and further relief as the court deems just and proper. 10 DATED: January 25, 2011 LAW OFFICES OF DAVID P. MAYBERRY 11 12 By: David P. Mayberry, 13 Attorney for Plaintiff, Jack Hayek 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 9

#### \* \* \* EMPLOYMENT \* \* \*

COMPLAINT OF DISCRIMINATION LINDER

THE PROVISIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA			DLFH #	E20101	1D5200-00	
FAIR EMPLOYMENT	AND HOUSING	NA NCT			DFE	USE ONLY
	CALIFORNI	A DEPARTMENT OF FA	AIR EMPLOY	MENT AND HOU	SING	
YOUR NAME (indicate Mr. or Ms.)						R (INCLUDE AREA CODE)
HAYEK, JACK					(951)587	•
ADDRESS						
41973 NIBLICK RO	AD					
CITY/STATE/ZIP				COUNTY		COUNTY CODE
TEMECULA, CA 92	591			RIVERSIDE		065
NAMED IS THE EMPLOYER, PE DISCRIMINATED AGAINST ME	RSON, LABOR ORGANIZA	ATION, EMPLOYMENT AGENCY	, APPRENTICES	HIP COMMITTEE, OR S	TATE OR LOCAL	
NAME		<u> </u>	·····		TELEPHONE NU	MBER (Include Area Code)
HCAL, LLC AND HA	ARRAHS ENTERTA					
ADDRESS	·····			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(800)522	DFEH USE ONLY
777 HARRAHS RIN	CON WAY				Ī	DEEP USE CIVET
CITY/STATE/ZIP		<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	COUNTY		COUNTY CODE
VALLEY CENTER,	CA 92082		SAN DIEGO		1	073
NO. OF EMPLOYEES/MEMBERS	(Known) DATE MOS TOOK PLACE	RECENT OR CONTINUING DISC E (month,day, and year)	CRIMINATION	RESPONDENT CO	DDE !	
150+	04/22	/2010		<u> </u>		
THE PARTICULARS ARE:			<del></del>	, '	:	
l allege that on about or t		emination	denial of en		denia	of family or medical leave
04/22/2010, the follow	<u></u>	lid off	denial of pa			of pregnancy leave
conduct occurred:	<del></del> -	emotion Brassment	denial of tra			al of equal pay
		enetic characteristics testing	_X_ denial of ac	commonation event discrimination or retall	denia	of right to wear pants
		onstructive discharge (forced to quit)	retaliation	essent mechalistration of tersi	auon denia	of pregnancy accommodation
		permissible non-job-related inquiry		ty) Denial of Employmen	31	
by HCAL, LLC AND I	HARRAHS ENTERT	AINMENT, INC.	,			
	Name of Person	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Job Tille (s	upervisor/manager/persor	inel director/etc.)	
pecause of :	Sex	national origin/ancestry		Allty (physical or mental)		See annual de la contrata de
	X age	marital status		cal condition (cancer or		for engaging in protected requesting a protected
religionsexual orientation			ric chraciaristic		requesting a protected ecommodation	
	race/color	association	other	(specify)		
State of what you MY AGI believe to be the EMPLO reason(s) for	E (74) AND MY DISABILITY/N YMENT AND HOUSING ACT	MEDICAL CONDITION - DIABETES	, HYPERTENSION	I, PERIPHERAL VASCUL	AR DISEASE, VIOL	ATION OF CALIFORNIA FAIR

I wish to pursue this matter in court. I hereby request that the Department of Fair Employment and Housing provide a right-to-sue. I understand that if I want a federal notice of right-to-sue, I must visit the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) to file a complaint within 30 days of receipt of the DFEH "Notice of Case Closure," or within 300 days of the atteged discriminatory act, whichever is earlier.

I have not been coerced into making this request, nor do I make it based on fear of retaliation if I do not do so. I understand it is the Department of Fair Employment and Housing's policy to not process or reopen a complaint once the complaint has been closed on the basis of "Complainant Elected Court Action."

By submitting this complaint I am declaring under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct of my own knowledge except as to matters stated on my information and belief, and as to those matters I believe it to be true.

Dated 09/27/2010

discrimination

At Temecula

DATE FILED: 09/27/2010

DFEH-300-030 (02/08) DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### \* \* \* EMPLOYMENT \* \* \*

COMPLAINT OF DISCRIMINATION UNDER
THE PROVISIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA
FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING ACT

THE PROVISIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING ACT			DFEH#	E201	1011D5202-00	
				D	FEH USE ONLY	
<del></del>	<del></del>	DEPARTMENT OF FA	AIR EMPLOYM	ENT AND HOU	SING	
YOUR NAME (indicate Mr. or Ms.)						MBER (INCLUDE AREA CODE)
HAYEK, JACK						587-6724
ADDRESS						
41973 NIBLICK ROAD						
CITY/STATE/ZIP			C	OUNTY		COUNTY CODE
TEMECULA, CA 92591			R	IVERSIDE		065
NAMED IS THE EMPLOYER, PERSON, L DISCRIMINATED AGAINST ME:	ABOR ORGANIZAT	ION, EMPLOYMENT AGENCY	, APPRENTICESHI	P COMMITTEE, OR S	TATE OR LOC	CAL GOVERNMENT AGENCY V
NAME					TELEPHONE	NUMBER (Include Area Code)
HARRAH'S OPERATING O	OMPANY, INC					751-3100
ADDRESS	<del>, </del>	<del></del>			(,,,,,,	DEH USE ONLY
777 HARRAH'S RINCON V	VAY					i
CITY/STATE/ZIP			C	OUNTY		COUNTY CODE
VALLEY CENTER, CA 920	82		\$	SAN DIEGO		073
NO. OF EMPLOYEES/MEMBERS (If known)		RECENT OR CONTINUING DISC (month,day, and year)	RIMINATION	RESPONDENT CO	DDE	1
150+	04/22/2	04/22/2010		00	j	
THE PARTICULARS ARE:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<del></del>
l allege that on about or before 04/22/2010 , the following conduct occurred:	laid	iolion assment elic characteristics testing structive discharge (forced to quit) ermissible non-job-related (nquiry	X denial of emp denial of pron denial of trans X denial of acco failure to prev retakation X other (specify)	notion sfer Immodation ent discrimination or retali	d	fenial of family or medical leave lenial of pregnancy leave lenieal of equal pay fenial of right to wear pants lenial of pregnancy accommodation
by HARRAH'S OPERATING	COMPANY, IN	IC.				
	Name of Person		Job Title (sup	pervisor/manager/persor	inel director/etc	J
	sex <ege religion race/color</ege 	national origin/ancestry marital status sexual orientation association	X_ medica	ty (physical or mental) I condition (cancer or chracteristic pecify) Diabates, Hype	activ	iation for engaging in protected fly or requesting a protected e or accommodation hersi Vascular
State of what you MY AGE (74) ANI	MY DISABILITYME	DICAL CONDITION - DIABETES	, HYPERTENSION, I	PERIPHERAL VASCUL	AR DISEASE, V	/IOLATION OF CALIFORNIA FAI

EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING ACT reason(s) for discrimination

I wish to pursue this matter in court. I hereby request that the Department of Fair Employment and Housing provide a right-to-sue. I understand that if I want a federal notice of right-to-sue, I must visit the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) to file a complaint within 30 days of receipt of the DFEH "Notice of Case Closure," or within 300 days of the alleged discriminatory act, whichever is earlier,

I have not been coerced into making this request, nor do I make it based on fear of retaliation if I do not do so. I understand it is the Department of Fair Employment and Housing's policy to not process or reopen a complaint once the complaint has been closed on the basis of "Complainant Elected Court Action."

By submitting this complaint I am decisting under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct of my own knowledge except as to matters stated on my information and belief, and as to those matters I believe it to be true.

Dated 09/27/2010

At Temecula

DATE FILED: 09/27/2010

DFEH-300-03o (02/08) DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

STATE OF CALIFORNIA - STATE A\*\* CONSUMER SERVICES AGENCY

ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, Governor

Phyllis W. Cheng, Director

#### DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT & HOUSING

1350 FRONT STREET, SUITE 1063, SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 (619) 645-2681 www.dfeh.ca.gov

September 27, 2010

HAYEK, JACK 41973 NIBLICK ROAD TEMECULA, CA 92591

RE: E201011D5200-00

HAYEK/HCAL, LLC AND HARRAHS ENTERTAINMENT, INC.

Dear HAYEK, JACK:

#### NOTICE OF CASE CLOSURE

This letter informs that the above-referenced complaint that was filed with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) has been closed effective September 27, 2010 because an immediate right-to-sue notice was requested. DFEH will take no further action on the complaint.

This letter is also the Right-To-Sue Notice. According to Government Code section 12965, subdivision (b), a civil action may be brought under the provisions of the Fair Employment and Housing Act against the person, employer, labor organization or employment agency named in the above-referenced complaint. The civil action must be filed within one year from the date of this letter.

If a federal notice of Right-To-Sue is wanted, the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) must be visited to file a complaint within 30 days of receipt of this DFEH *Notice of Case Closure* or within 300 days of the alleged discriminatory act, whichever is earlier.

Notice of Case Closure . Page Two

DFEH does not retain case files beyond three years after a complaint is filed, unless the case is still open at the end of the three-year period.

Sincerely,

Ignacio R. Vazquez District Administrator

cc: Case File

CATHY PINZONE
CAHSIERING DEPT. MANAGER
HCAL, LLC AND HARRAHS ENTERTAINMENT, INC.
777 HARRAHS RINCON WAY
VALLEY CENTER, CA 92082

DFEH-200-43 (06/06)



STATE OF CALIFORNIA - STATE '

CONSUMER SERVICES AGENCY

ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, GOVERNOR

Phyllis W. Cheng, Director

#### **DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT & HOUSING**

1350 FRONT STREET, SUITE 1063, SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 (619) 645-2681 www.dfeh.ca.gov

September 27, 2010

HAYEK, JACK 41973 NIBLICK ROAD TEMECULA, CA 92591

RE: E201011D5202-00

HAYEK/HARRAH'S OPERATING COMPANY, INC.

Dear HAYEK, JACK:

#### NOTICE OF CASE CLOSURE

This letter informs that the above-referenced complaint that was filed with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) has been closed effective September 27, 2010 because an immediate right-to-sue notice was requested. DFEH will take no further action on the complaint.

This letter is also the Right-To-Sue Notice. According to Government Code section 12965, subdivision (b), a civil action may be brought under the provisions of the Fair Employment and Housing Act against the person, employer, labor organization or employment agency named in the above-referenced complaint. The civil action must be filed within one year from the date of this letter.

If a federal notice of Right-To-Sue is wanted, the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) must be visited to file a complaint within 30 days of receipt of this DFEH *Notice of Case Closure* or within 300 days of the alleged discriminatory act, whichever is earlier.

Notice of Case Closure Page Two

DFEH does not retain case files beyond three years after a complaint is filed, unless the case is still open at the end of the three-year period.

Sincerely,

Ignacio R. Vazquez District Administrator

cc: Case File

CATHY PINZONE
CASHIERING DEPARTMENT MANAGER
HARRAH'S OPERATING COMPANY, INC.
777 HARRAHS RINCON WAY
VALLEY CENTER, CA 92082

DFEH-200-43 (06/06)

## REQUEST FOR TRIBAL COUNCIL ACTION



Tribal Council Meetings are held every week unless cancelled. THIS REQUEST FORM MUST BE SUBMITTED TO THE TRIBAL OFFICE ONE (1) WEEK PRIOR TO THE NEXT TRIBAL COUNCIL MEETING, NO LATER THAN 4:30 P.M.

Name: Hayek, Ja		D	ate: 9/27/20	ilo
(Last, First Mid		die)		I/DD/YYYY)
·				
1. Subject matte	r to be discussed: (F	Please Check all appropri		
		теазе опеск ап арргорп	ate boxes)	
☐ Ordinance	Loan	Membership	Per Cap	Services
☐ Tribal Govt.	☐ Committee	🔀 Personal	⊠ Other: disc	crimination clai
2. Please give a si	hort summary as to	why you want to be	placed on the a	mandat
On or about 04/22/10 I was constructively discharged from my job as Cashier III at the Hananskincon Casino and Resort due to discrimination on the basis of my age (14) and medical/physical discrimination of the California Department of law Empheral Vascular Desarging Undation of the California Department of law Empheral Vascular Desarging Units discrimination is also in Violetion of the Policies and West of the Kincon band of Luiseno Indian Thire and is also in Violetion of the Indian Cramina Regulation and the state of California.				
3. Describe what a	ction(s) have been t	aken to resolve or co	onfront the und	erlining issues
	s been taken by			
			<u></u>	
	Fas	E17 & /0.5/0.0.00		<u></u>

REV.1 (05/2007)

A Digaga list angolis quantiena and/anation/
4. Please list specific questions and/or action(s) desired from the Tribal Council
That the Tribal Concil consider this Claim Form, investigate the acts of discrimination and award the Claimant, but Havek, a remedy in the form of a restoration of his job with proper accomposations of flward damages for the loss of his job.
5. Requestors Contact Information
Mailing Address: 41973 Liblick Road
City: Temecula State: CA Zip Code: 92591
Contact Number (1): <u>(951) 587-6724</u> Contact Number (2): <u>(805)</u> 440-1015
I understand filing of this form <u>does not</u> automatically place my request on the agenda. Further, I understand that a <u>Rincon official will contact me</u> and advise me of the status of my request.
Signature: Jad Skyll Date: 09/27/10
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:
Received Date: Time:

#### PROOF OF SERVICE 1013A (3) CCP Revised 5/1/88

STATE OF CALIFORNIA )

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE )

I am employed in the County of Riverside, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 41877 Enterprise Circle North, Suite 130, Temecula, California 92590.

On September 27, 2010, I served the foregoing documents described as REQUEST FOR TRIBAL COUNCIL ACTION interested parties in this action by placing a true and correct copy thereof by Certified Mail at the address(s) shown below, by facsimile, and by email:

RINCON LUISENO BAND OF INDIANS TRIBAL OFFICE Attn: Dick Watenpaugh P.O. Box 68 Valley Center Road Valley Center, CA 92082 Facsimile: (760) 749-1051 dwatenpaugh@rincontribe.org

I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepared at Temecula, California, in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

Executed on September 27, 2010, at Temecula, California.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

AMY MATHIESON

U.S. Postal Service in CERTIFIED WAIL: RECE (Domestic Mail Only, No Insurance Covery Information Visit out, websitent CAL)	IPT Gager Gen Muse Constitution of the Constit			
Postage S . 00  Certified Fee 2.80  Resurred Required) Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required) Control Postage & Fees \$ 0.15	Postmark Here			
Supplement wise no Band of Indians  Supplement April 10: D. D. Box 68 Valley Center Ed.  OF 10: 10: 10: 10: 10: 10: 10: 10: 10: 10:				

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION.  Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.  Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.  Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.  Article Addressed to:  Rincon Wisand Bandof Indians  HH: Dick Watenpayn  P. O. Box 60 Valley Contex Rd  Valley Center, CA 92082	3. Service Type    Certified Mail   Express Mall     Registered   Return Receipt for Merchandise     Insured Mail   C.O.D.     Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)   Yes
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7009 286	20 0001 6696 7629 letum Receipt 102595-02-M-1640